

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
09/935,550	•	08/24/2001	Robert Naylor Laurie	P07351US00/LRP 8711		
881	7590	12/18/2002				
	RSON & TAYLOR, PLC			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
SUITE 900		AX STREET		SHEIKH, HUMERA N		
ALEXAND	OKIA, VA	22314		ART UNIT PAPE	PAPER NUMBER	
				1615	As	
				DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002	41	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/935,550	LAURIE ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Humera N. Sheikh	1615	•
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 26 November 2002 FAILS TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is requir final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be ei condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	ed to avoid abandonment of this ther: (1) a timely filed amendmer Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a natural natura	
PERIOD I	FOR REPLY [check either a) or b	)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mable to the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing dat no event, however, will the statutory period for rep ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REF 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	e of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date y expire later than SIX MONTHS from the PLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH 6(a). The date on which the petition under e period of extension and the correspond n date of the shortened statutory period to y the Office later than three months after	e mailing date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extending amount of the fee. The appropriate extended for reply originally set in the final Office action	P ension tension on; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof	(37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dism		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be en			
(a) they raise new issues that would requi		earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see	,		م ملاء
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the appli issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>			tne
(d) they present additional claims without	canceling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	g rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	_ would be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed amendm	nent
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ req application in condition for allowance beca		n considered but does NOT place t	he
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be conside raised by the Examiner in the final rejection		LELY to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed ame explanation of how the new or amended cl			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as f	ollows:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 5 - 12.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·		
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on $\_$	is a)  approved or b) □	disapproved by the Examiner.	_
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure S	tatement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		$/$ $\sqrt{a}$ $\sim$ $\ell$ $\ell$	_
		CARLOS AZPURU PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500	W

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

## Continuation of 10. Other:

The applicant's remarks filed 11/26/02 have been fully considered but are not found to be persuasive.

The recitation of a "single continuous process" as instantly claimed does not render patentability to the claims per se, since one of ordinary skill in the art could within the parameters, manipulatively alter the number of steps required in preparing the trace element solution, specifically, the steps required for preparing the more than one EDTA-complex as a sodium salt, to obtain the best possible results.